

Fundamental Rights in Administrative Decision-Making:
Peremptory Norms as Objective Standards
in Immigration and Refugee Cases

Table of Contents

Table of Cases.....	v
Introduction.....	1
Chapter 1 – Meta-rights and the common law	9
1.1 Introduction.....	9
1.2 The common law and fundamental rights	9
1.3 Positivism.....	13
1.4 Royal prerogative and its effect on administrative discretion	16
1.5 International law	20
1.6 Conclusion	20
Chapter 2 – Municipal law and public international law	23
2.1 Introduction.....	23
2.2 Public international law and the common law	23
2.2.1 Sources	24
2.2.2 Treaties	24
2.2.3 Customary international law.....	26
2.3 Inconsistencies in traditional approach to international law	26
2.3.1 Use of unincorporated treaties – confusion in the case law.....	27
2.3.2 Use of unincorporated treaties II – relationship with custom	47
2.3.3 Ambiguous phenomenon of incorporation – issues of interpretation.....	51
2.3.4 An obligation to incorporate certain treaties into municipal law?.....	52
2.3.5 Conclusion on incorporation of treaties	55
2.3.6 Custom.....	57
2.4 Conclusions – relationship between municipal and public international law and the way forward.....	59
2.4.1 Current position	59
2.4.2 Meta-rights based on <i>ius cogens</i> ?	60
Chapter 3 – <i>Ius cogens</i> and meta-rights in public international law	63
3.1 Introduction.....	63
3.2 The individual in international law	63
3.3 Meta-rights and <i>ius cogens</i>	68
3.3.1 Background.....	68
3.3.2 <i>Ius cogens</i> and sources discourse.....	69
3.3.3 Modern definition of <i>ius cogens</i> – <i>Vienna Convention 1969</i>	73
3.3.4 Arguments against existence of <i>ius cogens</i> described in Art 53..	76
3.3.5 Refutations of arguments against <i>ius cogens</i>	77
3.3.6 Sources of <i>ius cogens</i> norms in international human rights law	86
3.3.7 <i>Ius cogens</i> and obligations <i>erga omnes</i>	91
3.4 Conclusion	92

Chapter 4 – Specific <i>ius cogens</i> norms	95
4.1 Introduction.....	95
4.2 Specific norms	96
4.2.1 Preliminary – non-discrimination	96
4.2.2 Prohibition of genocide and the “right to life”	98
4.2.3 Prohibition of torture	100
4.2.4 Prohibition of slavery and related phenomena.....	104
4.2.5 <i>Non-refoulement</i>	105
4.3 Conclusion	109
Chapter 5 – <i>Ius cogens</i> in case law	113
5.1 Introduction.....	113
5.2 United Kingdom – <i>Pinochet</i>	113
5.3 Australia – <i>Nulyarimma v Thompson</i>	119
5.3.1 Background – Customary international law in Australia.....	120
5.3.2 <i>Nulyarimma v Thompson</i>	122
5.4 Canada – <i>Suresh</i>	127
5.4.1 Reasonableness and discretionary power	128
5.4.2 International law and the charter	129
5.5 New Zealand – <i>Zaoui</i>	132
5.6 Conclusion	138
Chapter 6 – Application of <i>ius cogens</i> norms to the exercise of administrative discretion.....	139
6.1 Introduction.....	139
6.2 Legitimation of international norms generally and <i>ius cogens</i> norms in particular.....	139
6.2.1 External legitimacy – consistency with international law ...	143
6.2.2 Internal legitimacy – international law values as common law values	156
6.3 Why <i>ius cogens</i> norms?	162
6.4 Comparison of <i>ius cogens</i> norms with other human rights norms ...	165
6.4.1 Illustration of effectiveness of <i>ius cogens</i> norms – prohibition on torture.....	165
6.4.2 Illustration of advantage of <i>ius cogens</i> norms over other norms – comparison with <i>Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989</i>	169
6.5 Emergence of <i>ius cogens</i> norms as controls on administrative discretion in their own right in common law cases.....	172
6.5.1 United Kingdom – <i>Pinochet</i>	172
6.5.2 Australia – <i>Nulyarimma</i>	173
6.5.3 Canada – <i>Suresh</i>	175
6.5.4 New Zealand – <i>Zaoui</i>	176
6.5.5 Conclusion.....	177
Conclusion	179
Select Bibliography.....	181
Index	183